9/10/77

Folder Citation: Collection: Office of Staff Secretary; Series: Presidential Files; Folder: 9/10/77; Container 40

To See Complete Finding Aid:

 $\underline{http://www.jimmycarterlibrary.gov/library/findingaids/Staff_Secretary.pdf}$

THE PRESIDENT'S SCHEDULE

Saturday - September 10, 1977

8:40

Depart South Grounds via Helicopter en route Andrews Air Force Base and Newark and Trenton, New Jersey.

3:35

Return to the South Grounds.

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

rick--

please file in confidential file for a while.

thanks -- susan

DEPARTMENT OF STATE DIVISION OF LANGUAGE SERVICES

(TRANSLATION)

LSNO. 64068 JEK/WD Spanish

REMARKS BY GENERAL TORRIJOS DURING THE CEREMONY OF THE SIGNING OF THE PANAMA CANAL TREATY AT THE PAN AMERICAN UNION ON SEPTEMBER 7, 1977

[Note: All quotations have been translated without reference to original English text. - LS]

MR. PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES:

I quote: You and I know well how many points there are in the Treaty to which any Panamanian patriot would object. Letter from John Hay, United States Secretary of State, to Senator Spooner, January 20, 1904. Unquote.

My presence here together with the most representatives leaders and statesmen of the Hemisphere attests to the end of many struggles by several generations of Panamanian patriots.

Our people, who have struggled with heroic perseverance to complete their independence, harbor no feelings of animosity against this nation which through gigantic feats of technology, pierced the Isthmus of Panama and connected two oceans 8 hours apart.

However, what was for mankind a technological conquest became, through a distortion of history, a colonial conquest of our country. I say distortion of history because President Theodore Roosevelt himselt stated publicly in Panama, I quote:

President Amador Guerrero, we have not the slightest intention of establishing an independent colony in the Canal Zone. October 18, 1904. Unquote.

Basically what sustained the hopes of the Panamanian people and strengthened their patience during all these years was the firm conviction that the people of the United States were not colonialists at heart, because you yourselves had been a colony and had fought heroically for your freedom.

We feel that you, Mr. President, in raising the banner of morality over our relations, are representing the true spirit of your people.

Latin America has stood by us both loyally and impartially. Its leaders have come to attend this ceremony in testimony of the fact that the religion and the cause of the Panamanian people are the religion and the cause of the hemisphere.

The presence of these leaders must herald a new and different era among us who live and sleep together in the hemisphere, so that all traces of the injustices which prevent us from dealing as equals may disappear. If one would be strong, one must also be just. You have changed imperial strength into moral strength.

Mr. President: There are two types of truths, logical truth and pleasant truth. In the name of logical truth, I want you to know that this Treaty, which I shall sign and which repeals a treaty not signed by any Panamanian, does not enjoy the approval of all our people, because the 23 years agreed upon as a transition period are 8,395 days, because during this time there will still be military bases which make my country a strategic reprisal target, and because we are agreeing to a treaty of

This pact could, if it is not administered judiciously by future generations, become an instrument of permanent intervention.

However, what has been agreed is the product of an understanding between two leaders who believe that their nations should live together peacefully and who have the courage and the leadership to stand before their people armed only with the truth and their deep conviction of what is just.

In Panama the instrument of ratification will be a plebiscite which, more than just a plebiscite, will be the purest example of civic participation ever recorded in the political history of the Republic. Ratification by this country will depend on the consensus of the Congress.

Esteemed friends of the Senate:

Before leaving you, I should like to recall a thought of a man which is today more pertinent than ever. Abraham Lincoln said: "A statesman is one who thinks of future generations and a politician is one who thinks of the next elections." I return to my country convinced that the future of our relations rests in the hands of excellent statesmen.

Thank you very much.

PERSONAL

9-10-77 To Sen Goldwater It is very important to me as hesident and Commander in Chief that you suffort the Fanama Canal Treaty. I would like to make a few points frankly and pri-Vately to you: a) your judgment and your patriotism have made you a strong feader to many Americans, and your decision as one Senator may well be the crucial factor in the

WASHINGTON

decision of other Senators. b) I have worked hard on this treaty negotiation under The presumption that your expression of Support fast year and more recently would Continue as a major factor in my struggle for ratification. The terms of The freaty plus Torrijos. own public statements are a Vast improvement over The prospective terms of several monther ago. c) I recognize Clearly the Enormous political pressures

WASHINGTON

Which have been building up, but there is no doubt that it is easier for you to With stand them than for most other men, and there is no doubt that your Stature and influence bould survive easily an empopular decision. need your help, and ask yout - if you have doubt to give me the Sene fit of them. Your friend encl: Torrijos OAS speech Timnung

WASHINGTON

p.s. At though I muited

you to lome by to see

you fo lome by to see

me, I am glad to wait

until fater where it is

more desirable for your-

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

September 10, 1977

Stu Eizenstat

The attached was returned in the President's outbox. It is forwarded to you for appropriate handling.

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Hamilton Jordan
Bunny Mitchell
Frank Moore

RE: LETTER FROM BLACK CAUCUS
ON BAKKE

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

Spe-Prepare answer

Congressional Black Caucus

In House Annex Washington, D.C. 20515

Parren J. Mitchell, Md., Chairperson Shirley Chisholm, N.Y, Vice-Chairperson Cardiss Collins, III., Treasurer Ronald V. Dellums, Calif., Secretary

202—225-1691

September 9, 1977

Yvonne B. Burke, Calif., William Clay, Mo.
John Conyers, Mich.
Charles Diggs, Mich.
Walter E. Fauntroy, D.C.
Harold Ford, Tenn.
Augustus Hawkins, Calif.
Barbara Jordan, Texas
Ralph Metcalfe, III.
Robert N.C. Nix, Pa.
Charles Rangel, N.Y.
Louis Stokes, Ohio

The President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Reports indicate that the government will file an amicus curiae brief in support of Allan Bakke in the case of The University of California v. Allan Bakke. We understand that that brief takes the position that the University of California acted in an unconstitutional and discriminatory manner in its establishment of a special admissions program to benefit "economically and socially disadvantaged" applicants to its medical school. We strongly oppose this position apparently taken by the government. This position is not only contrary to the relevant civil rights law, but will also have the effect of irretrievably undermining the affirmative action programs of public and private entities.

We urge the Administration to reconsider and reverse its reported decision to support Allan Bakke's position in this case. As indicated in the memorandum left with you at our meeting on Wednesday, we believe that future generations would come to regard a government brief supporting Bakke's position in the way the nation would now view a government brief in support of segregation in Brown v. The Board of Education. A government brief opposing affirmative action programs would be a statement to the black community indicating the government's reversal of its commitment to civil rights in this country.

In April, the Congressional Black Caucus wrote to the Attorney General asking for an amicus brief in support of the University of California's position in this case. Six months ago, when the Supreme Court decided to grant certiorari in the Bakke case, a delegation of concerned minority citizens asked the Justice Department to intervene on behalf of the University. It was their feeling that the real parties at interest — minority Americans who stand to lose most from a policy of retrenchment — had never been adequately represented in the litigation. Now, without the opportunity for those groups and for the Caucus to review and question the arguments in this reported brief, the Justice Department is apparently ready to immediately file a brief against our interests.

Electrostatic Copy Made for Preservation Purposes

We ask that such filing be delayed to allow for further discussions with appropriate officials on this vital matter. It is imperative that the government file with the Supreme Court a strong and persuasive brief in support of the University of California's position in the Bakke case, particularly since the Federal government brief could be the deciding factor in arguments before the Supreme Court.

Sincerely,
Yvonne B. Burke Shirley Chisholm
William Clay Cardiss Collins
John Conyers Ronald V. Dellums Walter Fauntroy
Harold Ford Augustus Hawkins Augustus Hawkins
Barbara Jordan Ralph Metcalfe Ralph Metcalfe Robert N.C. Nix
Charles Rangel Louis Stokes

THE PRESIDENT'S SCHEDULE

Sunday - September 11, 1977

9:50	Depart South Grounds via Motorcade en route First Baptist Church.
10:00	Sunday School.
11:00	Morning Worship Service.